Outcome of Subsequent IVF Cycles after Antibiotic Therapy Following Previously Failed IVF Cycles. Study II

ATTILA TOTH1, 2, ADDISON B. TOTH

1MacLeod Laboratory and 2New York Presbyterian Medical Center, New York, USA

Objective: To determine whether broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy administered after a failed in vitro fertilization (IVF) cycle will improve the chance of achieving a successful pregnancy in the subsequent IVF cycle and to determine whether further antibiotic therapy administered immediately after conception, during the course of pregnancy, and at the time of delivery will reduce the incidence of maternal and fetal complications. Design: A retrospective analysis was performed on the clinical data of 63 couples who had previously failed one or more IVF cycles and were subsequently treated with broad-spectrum antibiotics. All women were treated with intravenous Clindamycin and daily intrauterine lavages using a broad-spectrum antibiotic combination. All men received intravenous Clindamycin and simultaneously underwent five direct transrectal injections of an antibiotic cocktail into the prostate gland if clinical or sonographic evidence of chronic prostatitis was documented. For both men and women, these regimens were followed by a month-long oral course of Zithromax and Macrobid. Following either a spontaneous pregnancy or a repeat IVF conception, a 10-day antibiotic course was administered in the form of oral Cleocine or intravenous Clindamycin. Some women received intermittent oral antibiotic courses throughout the pregnancy and prophylactic antibiotics during delivery. Results: When compared with our previous study (Study I, [24]), the number of spontaneous pregnancies was significantly higher and the total number of births was also significantly higher. Following the antibiotic therapy, there was a significantly improved chance for the couples to achieve a successful IVF pregnancy when compared to historical controls in conventional repeat IVF cycles. For singleton pregnancies, there were no perinatal maternal or fetal complications. Conclusion: These results support our previous findings, showing that antibiotic therapy can reduce IVF failures in subsequent cycles. The reduced number of maternal and fetal complications after antibiotic therapy in this retrospective study is impressive and warrants a prospective, randomized trial for confirmation.
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Introduction

In developed countries, assisted reproductive technologies (ART), mostly in vitro fertilization (IVF) deliveries, account for up to 4% of total deliveries [1]. Since the birth of the first IVF baby in 1978, well over a million babies worldwide have been born after IVF [2]. While improvements in IVF technology have offered numerous infertile couples the chance to achieve a successful pregnancy, the per cycle success rate in most clinics hovers around 25% [3, 4] and the chance for a successful outcome diminishes with subsequent trials. The cumulative live birth rate after seven IVF cycles is around 60% [5–8]. Some of the failures are attributed to the male factor [9], poor oocyte quality [10], reduced ovarian reserve [11], uterine problems [12], and chromosomal abnormalities of the embryos [13]. In general, advanced maternal age is associated with a poorer outcome [14]. The role of infections is also appreciated, and most IVF cycles are complemented with a limited oral antibiotic regimen [15–18]. In a high percentage of cases, however, the cause of failure remains unknown. In addition, there are only speculative explanations why IVF pregnancies are more often complicated by premature birth, intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR), and chromosomal abnormalities than naturally conceived pregnancies [19–22]. The affected children have long-term, expensive-to-manage medical problems that force us to refocus our attention on the possible etiologies of these conditions.

Our laboratory has three decades of favorable experience with the use of antibiotic therapy in reversing infertility. In addition to reversing infertility, we have witnessed improved pregnancy outcomes and a reduction in perinatal maternal and fetal complications. Initially, we administered antibiotics orally [22, 23]. Later, the oral therapy was replaced with intravenous antibiotics in combination with uterine lavages using antibiotic cocktails. This regimen also proved to be beneficial in improving the chance of achieving subsequent pregnancies for women whose previous IVF cycles had been unsuccessful. Pregnancy-related maternal and fetal complications associated with IVF cycles were also significantly reduced [24]. Recognizing the impact that infections within the male genital canal can have on a couple’s fertility, we recommend IV antibiotics and direct injections of an antibiotic cocktail into the prostate of all men with clinical and sonographic evidence of chronic prostatitis. It is exceedingly rare to find negative semen cultures in men suffering from chronic prostatitis. Bacteria harbored in the inflamed prostate are transferred into the woman and can cause infertility and structural damage. Similarly, an abnormal bacterial flora in the vagina will find its way into the male prostate and will lead to chronic prostate infection. There are numerous publications supporting the beneficial effects of antibiotics directly injected into the prostate. It is safely used and is no longer considered experimental [25–30].

We report our experience here with 63 couples that were treated at our clinic between January 1, 2006 and January 1, 2009.

Materials and Methods

Study Design

A retrospective chart analysis and telephone follow-up was carried out on 63 couples that were treated at the MacLeod Laboratory between January 1, 2006 and January 1, 2009.
RCRC Institutional Review Board approved the study. Informed consent was obtained from the patients for data collection, analysis, and publication conforming to local and national regulations. The authors had full access to the data, directed the data analysis, and were responsible for decisions regarding publication. The principal investigator (Dr. Toth) assumes full responsibility for the integrity and interpretation of the data.

Patients

A total of 63 consecutive couples referred to us for antibiotic therapy with a history of primary or multiple previously failed IVF cycles were eligible for the study. Cervical and endometrial cultures on the women and seminal fluid and urethral cultures on the men were performed before the antibiotic therapy was initiated. *Chlamydia trachomatis* was tested using the Pathfinder Direct Antigen Detection System from Bio-Rad Laboratories, A7 differential agar was used to identify Mycoplasma, and API systems were used for aerobic bacterial identification. A Ramel Rapid Ana II system was used to identify anaerobic bacteria, and the API 20c AUX system was used to identify yeast. The Rapid NH System identified Neisseria and Hemophilus. *Trichomonas vaginalis* was identified after overnight growth in a selective broth (in a Pouch TV test kit). The result of the culture studies did not influence the recommendation of antibiotic therapy. All women were treated with a combination of 10 days of intravenous Clindamycin in a full therapeutic dose, typically 900 mg every 8 h for an individual of 150 lbs body weight, and 10 intrauterine lavages performed on consecutive days. The lavages applied a mixture of 6 g ampicillin, 160 mg gentamicin, 4 mg fluconazole (Diflucan), and 50 mg Medrol in a 1-h daily infusion using an ambulatory pump and a Cook 5.3FR intrauterine catheter. At the end of each lavage, the uterine cavity and cervical canal were filled with a 20% metronidazole-containing gel prepared by a local pharmacy. Similarly, intravenous Clindamycin was given to all men for a 10-day duration. For those men exhibiting clinical, laboratory, or sonographic evidence of chronic prostatitis, on alternate days a cocktail of broad-spectrum antibiotics was injected into the prostate gland using sonographic guidance through a transrectal approach. The antibiotic cocktail (a total volume of 10 ml) contained 150 mg Clindamycin, 80 mg gentamicin, 10 mg metronidazole (Flagyl), 50 mg Levaquin, 50 mg Zithromax, 4 mg fluconazole (Diflucan), and 50 mg methylprednisolone (Medrol). Out of the 63 couples, 42 men were treated with direct injections. For both men and women, this initial 10-day regimen was followed by a one-month long oral Zithromax, 500 mg once daily, and Macrobid (macrodantin), 100 mg twice-daily, combination antibiotic regimen. Except for two cases of mild diarrhea, which responded promptly to oral metronidazole, and one case of moderate skin rash (treated with oral prednisone, 10 mg three times daily for 5 days), no other complications were encountered with any of the antibiotic treatment modalities. The uterine lavages were performed without any complications. Transient hemospermia and hematuria, lasting up to 4 weeks, were the only complications associated with the transrectal injections. If a spontaneous or IVF pregnancy occurred following the antibiotic therapy, cervical smears were tested for Chlamydia elementary bodies 2 and 4 months following conception. If elementary bodies were detected, alternating 10-day oral Cleocine courses (600 mg three times daily and Zithromax 500 mg once daily) were given to the patients in 2-month intervals throughout the pregnancy. These patients received prophylactic intravenous Clindamycin during delivery, one dose of 900 mg prior to a scheduled ce-
sarean section, or, for spontaneous delivery, the same dose repeated every 8 h throughout labor and delivery.

Study Procedure and End Points

Reports on reproductive events following the completion of the antibiotic therapy were gathered via a direct telephone interview after a successful delivery or, if a successful pregnancy did not occur, up to a maximum of 18 months.

Statistical Methods

The primary statistical analyses were comparisons of the delivery rates for the antibiotic-treated patients with those of two different historical control samples of “conventional” IVF patients [7, 8]. This was accomplished using the Mantel–Haenszel (MH) test, stratified according to the number of previously failed cycles. More specifically, each of the published manuscripts contained tables showing the number of patients entering a given IVF cycle and the number who delivered immediately after that cycle. The number of previously failed cycles was the current cycle minus 1. Based on this information, multiple 2 × 2 contingency tables comparing the delivery frequency of the antibiotic-treated sample with the particular historical sample were formed, each table corresponding to (i.e. stratified for) the number of failed cycles. Only patients with five or fewer failed cycles were included in the analysis, since the data were too sparse for six or more cycles. In order for a delivery outcome to be counted as a “success”, the delivery had to occur in the immediately subsequent IVF cycle (as reported in the literature).

The standard MH test was used, first checking for homogeneity of the odds ratios using the Breslow–Day (BD) test (SAS Version 9.1, SAS Institute, Cary, NC). In all reported analyses, the BD test was nonsignificant (P-values of 0.61 and 0.56), thus allowing for “combining” the stratified 2 × 2 tables according to the MH method. Due to the sparseness of many of the tables, exact 95% confidence intervals were also computed, which were nearly identical to the asymptotic results; only the asymptotic results are presented. Results are reported in terms of relative risk (RR) and its associated 95% confidence interval (CI). In this report, RR represents the “risk” of a successful delivery for the antibiotic-treated group relative to the particular conventional IVF control. Accordingly, RR >1 is favorable to the antibiotic-treated group. A result was considered statistically significant if $P < 0.05$.

Results

Comparisons of Ages

There were 50 patients who had had five or fewer prior IVF failures and then received antibiotic therapy; of these, 23 pursued another cycle of IVF after antibiotic treatment. The 23 patients’ mean age was 38.7 (±4.5 SD) years. The mean ages for the Malizia and Elizur studies were 35.8 (±4.7) and 32.7 (±5.9) years, respectively.
Comparisons of Delivery Rates

The data used in the MH test calculations are shown in Table 1.

In both comparisons to the historical controls, the antibiotic-treated group had significantly higher delivery rates than the controls, as follows: When compared to the patients in Malizia’s report, those receiving antibiotics were 3.9 times more likely to deliver on the current cycle than the controls \((P < 0.0001, \text{RR} = 3.9, 95\% \text{CI}: 3.1–5.0)\). When compared to the controls in the Elizur publication, the delivery rate was 6.6 times greater \((P < 0.0001, \text{RR} = 6.6, 95\% \text{CI}: 5.0–8.6)\).

Similar statistical calculations were performed after combining the data from our first study (Study I, 52 treated couples, mean age 38.1 (±4.1 SD) years, [24]) with the recent study (Study II, 63 treated couples) on a total of 105 cases. All these couples experienced one or multiple IVF failures before undergoing antibiotic therapy. Again, only cases with fewer than five previous failed IVF cycles were included and, in order for a delivery outcome to be counted as a “success”, the delivery had to occur in the immediately subsequent IVF cycle (as reported in the literature).

In Studies I and II combined, there were 94 couples who had five or fewer prior IVF failures and then received antibiotic therapy; of these 94 couples, 45 pursued another cycle of IVF after antibiotic treatment.

Comparisons of Singleton Delivery Rates to historical controls: Combined data from Study I and Study II.

The data used in the MH test calculations are shown in Table 2.

In both comparisons to the historical controls, the antibiotic-treated group had significantly higher delivery rates than the historical controls, as follows: When compared with the

Table 1  Comparing two historical controls for chance of delivery (relative risk) after antibiotic therapy: Study II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of prior failures</th>
<th>Antibiotic (Toth)</th>
<th>Malizia</th>
<th>Antibiotic (Toth)</th>
<th>Elizur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>Delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>3053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>1753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1615</td>
<td>6469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude delivery rate (%)</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH relative risk</td>
<td>(RR = 3.9; 95% \text{CI}: 3.1–5.0; P &lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td>(RR = 6.6; 95% \text{CI}: 5.0–8.6; P &lt; 0.0001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2  Comparing two historical groups for chance of delivery (relative risk) in the combined group after antibiotic therapy. In Study I (22) and in Study II (23) repeated IVF, a total of 45 women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of prior failures</th>
<th>Antibiotic (Toth) Delivery</th>
<th>Malizia Delivery</th>
<th>Antibiotic (Toth) Delivery</th>
<th>Elizur Delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>3053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>1753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1615</td>
<td>6469</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Crude delivery rate (%) 68.9 20.0 68.9 11.8
MH relative risk RR = 3.6; 95% CI: 3.0–4.4; P < 0.0001 RR = 6.1; 95% CI: 4.9–7.7; P < 0.0001

Table 3  Summary table of number of couples and pregnancies in Study I and Study II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pregnancy type</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Study II</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>Pct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of deliveries</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44.23</td>
<td>55.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twins</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous singleton</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>19.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singleton first IVF post antibiotic therapy</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26.92</td>
<td>25.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singleton second IVF post antibiotic therapy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of couples</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
patients in Malizia’s report, those receiving antibiotics were 3.6 times more likely to deliver on the current cycle than the controls ($P < 0.0001$, RR=3.6, 95% CI: 3.0–4.4). When compared with the controls in the Elizur publication, the delivery rate was 6.1 times greater ($P < 0.0001$, RR = 6.1, 95% CI: 4.9–7.7) (Table 3).

Comparisons of Singleton Delivery Rates: Study I and Study II.

With the more intensive antibiotic therapy, there was a significantly higher chance for delivery (35 vs. 23). The rate of spontaneous pregnancy in the second study group was significantly higher (12 in Study II vs. 3 in Study I). There was no significant difference in birth weight between the two studies (Table 4). There was a trend for deliveries to occur closer to the ideal 280 days after the administration of broader spectrum antibiotics to the male partner in Study II. This difference, however, did not reach statistical significance (Fig. 1).

### Discussion

Published clinical trials showing either the beneficial effect of antibiotics or no effect at all on improving IVF pregnancy rates all used limited courses of orally administered antibiotics [31, 32]. There are no studies evaluating the effects of broad-spectrum antibiotics given to couples who failed IVF cycle or to evaluate the benefit of antibiotics in reducing maternal and fetal complications known to be increased after IVF. Numerous studies promote the use of different antibiotics for selected pathogens, or for delaying delivery after the onset of premature labor, or to prevent infectious complications after premature rupture of membranes or following cesarean section [33–36]. Previous works from our laboratory showed that preconceptional antibiotic therapy, initially administered orally [22, 23] and later intravenously with the addition of uterine washes with broad-spectrum antibiotics, greatly improved a woman’s fertility, reduced the chance of a repeat miscarriage, and facilitated the delivery of full-term, healthy newborns without maternal or fetal complications. In addition, broad-spectrum antibiotics given intravenously combined with intrauterine lavages greatly improved a woman’s chance to achieve a subsequent spontaneous or IVF pregnancy after one or multiple previously failed IVF cycles (Study I, [24]).

The current study reports our latest findings on 63 couples that experienced one or multiple IVF failures before consulting with us for antibiotic therapy and represents the continuation of a management philosophy of infertility based on an infectious etiology. The major difference between Study I and the current study (Study II) is the appreciation of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>N Obs</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Lower quartile</th>
<th>Upper quartile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study I</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>272.6</td>
<td>276.0</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>259.0</td>
<td>287.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3477.2</td>
<td>3674.0</td>
<td>461.3</td>
<td>3010.0</td>
<td>3883.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study II</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>276.0</td>
<td>275.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>271.0</td>
<td>283.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3526.4</td>
<td>3414.5</td>
<td>607.2</td>
<td>3101.0</td>
<td>3784.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
male factor in infertility and pregnancy-related complications: more precisely, the role of bacteria harbored in the male genital tract and their effect on sperm function, female fertility, and pregnancy complications. The prime reservoir for these bacteria is the prostate gland. It has been shown that direct antibiotic injection into the prostate is by far more efficient in reducing bacterial colony count in the semen than orally administered antibiotics. Our experience with chronic prostatitis shows that, in over half of these patients, a urethral swab is positive for Chlamydia elementary bodies detectable by fluorescent antibody staining. The high isolation rate of Chlamydia in our patient population is troublesome and warrants explanation. We have encountered several patients whose IVF was initiated without prior Chlamydia screening. In cases where previous screening was performed and therapy was given for Chlamydia infection, we assume the presence of a resistant strain or a mutated strain that is no longer detectable by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), rather than a reinfection. We are aware of the emergence of multidrug resistant Chlamydia strains and encountered a number of cases where long, broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy courses failed to eradicate Chlamydia [37, 38]. A significant number of patients with chronic prostatitis will reveal a variety of heavily growing anaerobic bacteria. Therefore, all men with clinical, laboratory, or sono-

Fig. 1 Comparison of gestational age (GA in days) at delivery: Study I and Study II
graphic evidence of chronic prostatitis were given five direct transrectal antibiotic injections using the described cocktail. Neither the pretreatment Chlamydia-positive culture status nor the total number of pretreatment bacterial isolates was a predictor of a successful pregnancy. The small sample size, however, prevents a definite conclusion. Clearly, more detailed microbiological studies are indicated. In general, IVF pregnancies have an increased risk of developing pregnancy-associated complications, such as bleeding, pre-eclampsia, placenta previa, premature rupture of membranes (PROM), and preterm delivery. Interventions, including cesarean sections and induction of labor, are more frequent. The newborns conceived through an IVF cycle have a higher chance of being extremely low or low birth weight and suffer from IUGR and congenital malformations [39–42]. In our Study II, none of the patients delivered prematurely and none of the babies was of small birth weight and there were no intrauterine-growth-retarded newborns. Our series does not include complicated pregnancies and the deliveries of sickly children. Newborn intensive care unit (NICU) admissions among singleton deliveries were for observation only: 3 days for women who conceived spontaneously after antibiotic therapy and 7 days for women who delivered after an IVF procedure. There were significant differences between Study I and Study II. In Study II, the total number of deliveries was significantly higher, and significantly more couples achieved spontaneous pregnancies. The birth weight in the two studies did not differ significantly. In the second study, there was a trend for the deliveries to come close to the ideal 280 days. This difference, however, did not reach statistical significance. Despite the failure of microbiological studies to show a difference between those patients who failed or succeeded in subsequent IVF cycles, we attribute this favorable outcome to the antimicrobial effect of the antibiotics and postulate that, where IVF pregnancies are associated with premature delivery, IUGR, extreme prematurity, maternal and fetal infectious complications, and the need for NICU admission, an intrauterine infection is at play.

We recognize the limitations of a retrospective study. The small sample size, potential bias due to patient selection in a unique, private practice setting, the previously failed IVF cycle as prerequisite to be enrolled into the study, all could have influenced the outcome. Still, the uniform beneficial effect of antibiotics in improving the chance for a pregnancy in the subsequent IVF cycle as well as the reduced number of maternal and fetal complications in the post antibiotic pregnancies prompts us to put forward our findings and urge the medical community to take part in a prospective, randomized trial where these observation can be put to a final test.
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